arXiv:1603.04301v1 [math.CO] 14 Mar 2016

On the second smallest and the largest normalized Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph *

Xiaoguo Tian, Ligong Wang and Yong Lu
Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, People's Republic of China.
E-mails: xiaoguotianwycm@163.com; lgwangmath@163.com; luyong.gougou@163.com

Abstract

Let G be a simple connected graph with order n. Let $\mathcal{L}(G)$ be the normalized Laplacian matrix of G. Let $\lambda_k(G)$ be the k-th smallest normalized Laplacian eigenvalue of G. Denote $\rho(A)$ the spectral radius of the matrix A. In this paper, we study the behaviors of $\lambda_2(G)$ and $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$ when the graph is perturbed by three operations.

Key Words: second smallest normalized Laplacian eigenvalue, normalized Laplacian spectral radius.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 05C50, 15A18.

1 Introduction

Let A be a matrix with order $n \times n$, and $\rho(A)$ be the spectral radius of A. Let G be a simple connected graph. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ be the vertex set and the edge set of G, respectively. Its order is $|V(G)|$, and its size is $|E(G)|$. For $v \in V(G)$, let $d(v)$ be the degree of v, $N_G(v)$ be the set of neighbours of a vertex v in G. We use the notation I for the identity matrix, e for the vector consisting of all ones, S_n for the star of order n, C_n for the cycle of length n, P_n for the path of length $n-1$ and $Vol(G)$ for the sum of the degrees of all vertices in G. Meanwhile, we use the notation $S(G)$ to denote the subdivision graph of G, which is the graph obtained from G by inserting some new vertices to some edges of G.

Let $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ be the adjacency matrix and the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G, respectively. The Laplacian and normalized Laplacian matrices of G are defined as $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}(G) = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(G)L(G)D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(G)$, respectively. When only one graph G is under consideration, we sometimes use A, D, L and $\mathcal{L}$ instead of $A(G)$, $D(G)$, $L(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}(G)$ respectively. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a symmertric positive semidefinite matrix and $D^{\frac{1}{2}}(G)e$ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ with eigenvalue 0. Thus, the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(G)$ of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ satisfy

$$\lambda_n(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_1(G) = 0.$$

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11171273)

Some of them maybe repeated according to their multiplicities. $\lambda_k(G)$ is the k-th smallest normalized Laplacian eigenvalue of G. Thus $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \lambda_n(G)$. When only one graph is under consideration, we may use λ_k and $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ instead of $\lambda_k(G)$ and $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$, respectively.

In terms of $\lambda_2(G)$, Chung [1] showed that $\lambda_2(G)$ is 0 if and only if G is disconnected. This result is closely related to the second smallest eigenvalue of its Laplacian matrix [2]. H.H. Li et al. [3, 4] studied the behavior of λ_2 when the graph is perturbed by grafting an edge and a pendent path, respectively. Recently, J.X. Li et al. [5] studied the behavior of λ_2 when the graph is perturbed by separating an edge. They determined all trees and unicyclic graphs with $\lambda_2(G) \geq 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. Guo et al. [7] studied the behavior of $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ when the graph is perturbed by removing pendant edges from one vertex to another. The non-bipartite unicyclic graph with fixed order and girth which has the largest $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ was also determined.

In this paper, we further study the behaviors of λ_2 and $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ when the graph is perturbed by three operations.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some properties of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the normalized Laplacian matrix of a graph G. Let g be a vector such that $g \neq 0$. Then we can view g as a function which assigns to each vertex v of G a real value $g(v)$, the coordinate of g according to v (All the vectors in this paper are dealt in this way). By letting $g = D^{1/2}f$, we have

$$\frac{g^T\mathcal{L}g}{g^Tg} = \frac{f^TD^{1/2}\mathcal{L}D^{1/2}f}{(D^{1/2}f)^TD^{1/2}f} = \frac{f^TLf}{f^TDf} = \frac{\sum_{uv\in E(G)}(f(u)-f(v))^2}{\sum_{v\in V(G)}d(v)(f(v))^2}.$$

Thus, we can obtain the following formulas for λ_2 and $\rho(\mathcal{L})$.

$$\lambda_2 = \inf_{f\perp De}\frac{f^TLf}{f^TDf} = \inf_{f\perp De}\frac{\sum_{uv\in E(G)}(f(u)-f(v))^2}{\sum_{v\in V(G)}d(v)(f(v))^2}. \tag{1}$$

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \sup_{f\perp De}\frac{f^TLf}{f^TDf} = \sup_{f\perp De}\frac{\sum_{uv\in E(G)}(f(u)-f(v))^2}{\sum_{v\in V(G)}d(v)(f(v))^2}. \tag{2}$$

A nonzero vector that satisfies equality in (1) or (2) is called a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$ or $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

Lemma 2.1 [1] *Let G be a simple connected graph and f be a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$. Then for any $v \in V(G)$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{d(v)}\sum_{uv\in E(G)}(f(v)-f(u)) = \lambda_2(G)f(v).$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2 *Let G be a simple connected graph and f be a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$. If $\lambda_2(G) = 1$, then for any $v \in V(G)$, we have*

$$\sum_{uv\in E(G)} f(u) = 0.$$

If f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$, the similar results about Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 are obtained.

From Corollary 2.2, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.3 *Let v be the center of the star S_n, f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(S_n)$. Then $f(v) = 0$.*

Proof Through a simple calculation, we can obtain $\lambda_2(S_n) = 1$. Combining the Corollary 2.2, the result is clear. □

Lemma 2.4 [1] *For a graph which is not a complete graph, we have $\lambda_2 \leq 1$.*

Next we will define three operations:

Operation I. G' is obtained by inserting a new vertex w to an edge uv of G. That is to say $G' = G - uv + uw + wv$.

Operation II. Let G_1 and G_2 be two simple connected graphs, $u \in V(G_1)$, $v \in V(G_2)$. Let G be a graph obtained from G_1 and G_2 by identifying u with v (see Figure 1).

Operation III. Let u, v be two vertices of the simple connected graph G. Suppose that $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s$ $(1 \leq s \leq d(v))$ are some vertices of $N_G(v) \backslash N_G(u)$ and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s$ are different from u. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by deleting the edges vv_i and adding the edges uv_i. That is to say $G' = G - vv_1 - vv_2 - \cdots - vv_s + uv_1 + uv_2 + \cdots + uv_s$.



Figure 1: Operation II

3 The effects on the $\lambda_2(G)$ of a graph by three operations

In this section we study the behavior of λ_2 when the graph is perturbed by three operations.

The following theorem studies the behavior of λ_2 when the graph is perturbed by Operation I.

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a simple connected graph of order n, $uv \in E(G)$ and $G' = G - uv + uw + wv$. Then $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(G')$, and the inequality is strict if $f(u)f(v) \neq 0$, where f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$.*

Proof Let $V(G) = \{u, v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-2}\}$ and $V(G') = \{u, v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-2}, w\}$. Let $d(x)$ and $d'(x)$ be the degrees of x in G and G', respectively. Let D and D' be the diagonal degree matrices of G and G', respectively. Let L and L' be the Laplacian matrices of G and G', respectively. Let e and e' be the vectors consisting of all ones, where $e \in R^n$ and $e' \in R^{n+1}$. Then $d'(w) = 2$,$d'(x) = d(x)$, $x \in V(G)$. Since f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$. Then $f \neq 0$ and $f \perp De$. Let us distinguish two cases.

Case 1 $f(u)f(v) \leq 0$. Let h be a vector such that $h(w) = 0$, $h(x) = f(x)$, where $x \in V(G)$. Then

$$h^T D'e' = \sum_{x \in V(G')} h(x)d'(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G)} h(x)d'(x) + h(w)d'(w)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in V(G)} f(x)d(x) = f^T De = 0.$$

Thus $h \perp D'e'$. Note that $h \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\frac{h^T L' h}{h^T D' h} \geq \lambda_2(G').$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} h^T D' h &= \sum_{x \in V(G')} d'(x)h^2(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G)} d'(x)h^2(x) + d'(w)h^2(w) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V(G)} d(x)f^2(x) = f^T Df, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h^T L' h &= \sum_{xy \in E(G')} (h(x) - h(y))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G') \setminus \{uw, wv\}} (h(x) - h(y))^2 + (h(u) - h(w))^2 + (h(w) - h(v))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G) \setminus \{uv\}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + f^2(u) + f^2(v) \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + 2f(u)f(v) = f^T Lf + 2f(u)f(v) \\ &\leq f^T Lf. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Formula (1), we have

$$\lambda_2(G) = \frac{f^T Lf}{f^T Df} \geq \frac{h^T L' h}{h^T D' h} \geq \lambda_2(G').$$

If $f(u)f(v) < 0$, then $f^T Lf > h^T L' h$. Thus, $\lambda_2(G) > \lambda_2(G')$.

Case 2 $f(u)f(v) > 0$. Let h be a vector such that $h(w) = f(u)$, $h(x) = f(x)$, where $x \in V(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h^T L' h &= \sum_{xy \in E(G')} (h(x) - h(y))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G') \setminus \{uw, wv\}} (h(x) - h(y))^2 + (h(u) - h(w))^2 + (h(w) - h(v))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G) \setminus \{uv\}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + (f(u) - f(v))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 = f^T Lf, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h^T D'e' = \sum_{x\in V(G')} h(x)d'(x) = \sum_{x\in V(G)} h(x)d'(x) + h(w)d'(w)$$
$$= \sum_{x\in V(G)} f(x)d(x) + 2f(u) = f^T De + 2f(u) = 2f(u).$$

Let $p = h + ce'$, where $c = -\frac{2f(u)}{Vol(G)+2}$. Then

$$p^T D'e' = (h + ce')^T D'e' = h^T D'e' + ce'^T D'e' = 2f(u) + c(Vol(G) + 2) = 0.$$

Thus $p \perp D'e'$. Note that $p \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\frac{p^T L'p}{p^T D'p} \geq \lambda_2(G').$$

It is clear that

$$p^T L'p = h^T L'h = f^T Lf,$$

and

$$p^T D'p = \sum_{x\in V(G')} d'(x)p^2(x) = \sum_{x\in V(G')} d'(x)(h(x) + c)^2$$
$$= \sum_{x\in V(G)} d(x)(f(x) + c)^2 + 2(f(u) + c)^2$$
$$= f^T Df + 2cf^T De + c^2 Vol(G) + 2(f(u) + c)^2$$
$$= f^T Df + \frac{2f^2(u)Vol(G)(2 + Vol(G))}{(2 + Vol(G))^2}$$
$$> f^T Df.$$

Thus, from Formula (1), we have

$$\lambda_2(G) = \frac{f^T Lf}{f^T Df} > \frac{p^T L'p}{p^T D'p} \geq \lambda_2(G').$$

Combining Cases 1 and 2, the result follows. □

From Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2 *Let G be a simple connected graph and $S(G)$ be the subdivision graph of G. Then $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(S(G))$.*

The following theorem studies the behavior of λ_2 when the graph is perturbed by Operation II.

Theorem 3.3 *Let G_1 and G_2 be two simple connected graphs of orders m and n, respectively. Let $u \in V(G_1)$ and $v \in V(G_2)$. Let G be a graph obtained from G_1 and G_2 by identifying u with v. Then $\lambda_2(G) \leq \lambda_2(G_1)$, and the inequality is strict if $f_1(u) \neq 0$, where f_1 is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G_1)$.*

Proof Let $V(G_1) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}, u\}$, $V(G_2) = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}, v\}$, and $V(G) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}, u, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$. Let $d(x)$, $d_1(x)$ and $d_2(x)$ be the degree of x in G, the

degree of x in G_1, and the degree of x in G_2, respectively. Let D and D_1 be the diagonal degree matrices of G and G_1, respectively. Let L and L_1 be the Laplacian matrices of G and G_1, respectively. Let e and e_1 be the vectors consisting of all ones, where $e \in R^{m+n-1}$ and $e_1 \in R^m$. Then $d(x_i) = d_1(x_i), i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$, $d(y_j) = d_2(y_j), j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, and $d(u) = d_1(u) + d_2(v)$. Since f_1 is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G_1)$. Then $f_1 \neq 0$ and $f_1 \perp D_1 e_1$.

Let $f(x) = f_1(x), \forall x \in V(G_1)$, $f(y_j) = f_1(u), j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^T L f &= \sum_{xy \in E(G)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G_1)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + \sum_{xy \in E(G) \setminus E(G_1)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G_1)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 = \sum_{xy \in E(G_1)} (f_1(x) - f_1(y))^2 \\ &= f_1^T L_1 f_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^T De &= \sum_{x \in V(G)} d(x) f(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G_1)} d(x) f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j) f(y_j) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u\}} d(x) f(x) + d(u) f(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j) f(y_j) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u\}} d_1(x) f_1(x) + (d_1(u) + d_2(v)) f_1(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_2(y_j) f_1(u) \\ &= f_1^T D_1 e_1 + d_2(v) f_1(u) + f_1(u) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_2(y_j) \\ &= f_1(u)(d_2(v) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_2(y_j)) = f_1(u) Vol(G_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $h = f + ce$, where $c = -\frac{f_1(u) Vol(G_2)}{Vol(G_1) + Vol(G_2)}$, Then

$$h^T De = (f + ce)^T De = f_1(u) Vol(G_2) + c(Vol(G_1) + Vol(G_2)) = 0.$$

Thus $h \perp De$. Note that $h \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\frac{h^T L h}{h^T D h} \geq \lambda_2(G).$$

Moreover

$$h^T D h = (f + ce)^T D (f + ce) = f^T D f + 2c f^T De + c^2 e^T De$$

$$= f^T Df + 2cf_1(u)Vol(G_2) + c^2(Vol(G_1) + Vol(G_2))$$
$$= f^T Df - \frac{(f_1(u)Vol(G_2))^2}{Vol(G_1) + Vol(G_2)},$$

and

$$f^T Df = \sum_{x\in V(G)} d(x)f^2(x) = \sum_{x\in V(G_1)} d(x)f^2(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j)f^2(y_j)$$
$$= \sum_{x\in V(G_1)\setminus\{u\}} d(x)f^2(x) + d(u)f^2(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j)f^2(y_j)$$
$$= \sum_{x\in V(G_1)\setminus\{u\}} d_1(x)f_1^2(x) + (d_1(u) + d_2(v))f_1^2(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j)f_1^2(u)$$
$$= f_1^T D_1 f_1 + d_2(v)f_1^2(u) + f_1^2(u)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j)$$
$$= f_1^T D_1 f_1 + f_1^2(u)Vol(G_2).$$

From the above equation, we have

$$h^T Dh = f_1^T D_1 f_1 + \frac{f_1^2(u)Vol(G_1)Vol(G_2)}{Vol(G_1) + Vol(G_2)}$$
$$\geq f_1^T D_1 f_1 > 0.$$

Thus, from Formula (1), we have

$$\lambda_2(G_1) = \frac{f_1^T L_1 f_1}{f_1^T D_1 f_1} = \frac{h^T Lh}{f_1^T D_1 f_1} \geq \frac{h^T Lh}{h^T Dh} \geq \lambda_2(G).$$

If $f_1(u) \neq 0$, then $h^T Dh > f_1^T D_1 f_1$. Thus, $\lambda_2(G_1) > \lambda_2(G)$. The result follows.□

From Theorem 3.3, the following is easily obtained.

Corollary 3.4 *Let G_1 and G_2 be two simple connected graphs, $u \in V(G_1)$, $v \in V(G_2)$. Let G be a graph obtained from G_1 and G_2 by identifying u with v. Then $\lambda_2(G) \leq min\{\lambda_2(G_1), \lambda_2(G_2)\}$.*

In particular, if T is a tree, then it is clear that T can be obtained from the subtree T_1 and T_2 by Operation II. Hence, by Corollary 3.4, the following is immediate.

Corollary 3.5 [6] *Let T be a tree. If T' is a subtree of T, then $\lambda_2(T) \leq \lambda_2(T')$.*

The following theorem studies the behavior of λ_2 when the graph is perturbed by Operation III.

Theorem 3.6 *Let u, v be two vertices of the simple connected graph G of order n. Suppose that $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s (1 \leq s \leq d(v))$ are some vertices of $N_G(v)\setminus N_G(u)$ and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s$ are different from u. Let $G' = G - vv_1 - vv_2 - \cdots - vv_s + uv_1 + uv_2 + \cdots + uv_s$ and f be a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$. If $f(u) = f(v)$, Then $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(G')$.*

Proof Let $d(x)$ and $d'(x)$ be the degree of x in G and the degree of x in G', respectively. Let D and D' be the diagonal degree matrices of G and G', respectively. Let L and L' be the Laplacian matrices of G and G', respectively. Let e be the vector consisting of all ones, where

$e \in R^{|G|}$. Then $d'(v) = d(v) - s$,$d'(u) = d(u) + s$, $d'(x) = d(x)$, where $x \in V(G)\backslash\{u, v\}$. Since f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$. Then $f \neq 0$ and $f \perp De$. Let $f'(u) = f(u), \forall u \in V(G)$. Then $f'(u) = f(u) = f(v) = f'(v)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f'^T L' f' &= \sum_{xy \in E(G')} (f'(x) - f'(y))^2 \\
&= \sum_{xy \in E(G')\backslash\{uv_1, uv_2, \cdots, uv_s\}} (f'(x) - f'(y))^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{s} (f'(u) - f'(v_j))^2 \\
&= \sum_{xy \in E(G)\backslash\{vv_1, vv_2, \cdots, vv_s\}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{s} (f(v) - f(v_j))^2 \\
&= \sum_{xy \in E(G)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\
&= f^T L f,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f'^T D' e &= \sum_{x \in V(G')} d'(x) f'(x) \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G)\backslash\{u,v\}} d'(x) f'(x) + d'(u) f'(u) + d'(v) f'(v) \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G)\backslash\{u,v\}} d'(x) f'(x) + f'(u)(d'(u) - s) + f'(v)(d'(v) + s) \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G)\backslash\{u,v\}} d(x) f(x) + d(u) f(u) + d(v) f(v) \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G)} d(x) f(x) = f^T D e = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $f' \perp D'e$. Note that $f' \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\frac{f'^T L' f'}{f'^T D' f'} \geq \lambda_2(G').$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
(f')^T D' f' &= \sum_{x \in V(G')} d'(x)(f'(x))^2 \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G')\backslash\{u,v\}} d'(x)(f'(x))^2 + d'(u)(f'(u))^2 + d'(v)(f'(v))^2 \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G)\backslash\{u,v\}} d(x) f^2(x) + (d(u) + s) f^2(u) + (d(v) - s) f^2(v) \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G)\backslash\{u,v\}} d(x) f^2(x) + d(u) f^2(u) + d(v) f^2(v) \\
&= \sum_{x \in V(G)} d(x) f^2(x) = f^T D f.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Formula (1), we have

$$\lambda_2(G) = \frac{f^T L f}{f^T D f} = \frac{(f')^T L' f'}{(f')^T D' f'} \geq \lambda_2(G').$$

The result follows. □



Figure 2: Graph G_a and G_b

Figure 2 shows that the conditoin $f(u) = f(v)$ of Theorem 3.6 is necessary. If $f(u) \neq f(v)$, then the relation between values of $\lambda_2(G)$ and $\lambda_2(G')$ is not sure. There are the following three cases. For G_a and G_b in Figure 2 (G_b is G_3 of Theorem 2.4 in [4]), the natural numbers represent the vertices and the real numbers attached to vertices in each graphs are the valuations by the harmonic eigenfuction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$.

Case 1 $\lambda_2(G) < \lambda_2(G')$. Let $G = G_a$ and 4, 3, 5 stand for u, v, v_1, respectively. It is clear that $f(u) > f(v)$. Denote $G' = G - vv_1 + uv_1$. By direct calculation, we obtain $\lambda_2(G) = 0.1408 < 0.1557 = \lambda_2(G')$.

Case 2 $\lambda_2(G) = \lambda_2(G')$. Let $G = G_a$ and 1, 3, 5 stand for u, v, v_1, respectively. It is clear that $f(u) > f(v)$. Denote $G' = G - vv_1 + uv_1$. Because G is isomorphic to G', we obtain $\lambda_2(G) = \lambda_2(G') = 0.1408$.

Case 3 $\lambda_2(G) > \lambda_2(G')$. Let $G = G_b$ and 1, 2, 8 stand for u, v, v_1, respectively. It is clear that $f(u) > f(v)$. Denote $G' = G - vv_1 + uv_1$. By direct calculation, we obtain $\lambda_2(G) = 0.2290 > 0.2105 = \lambda_2(G')$.

From above, we can see that if $f(u) > f(v)$, the relation between values of $\lambda_2(G)$ and $\lambda_2(G')$ is not sure. Note that if f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$, $-f$ is also a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_2(G)$. When $f(u) < f(v)$, the similar result is obtained.

4 The effects on the $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$ of a graph by three operations

In this section we study the behavior of $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ when the graph is perturbed by three operations.

The following theorem studies the behavior of $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ when the graph is perturbed by Operation I.

Theorem 4.1 *Let G be a simple connected graph of order n, $uv \in E(G)$ and $G' = G - uv + uw + wv$. Let f be a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. If $f(u)f(v) \geq 0$ Then $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$, and the inequality is strict if $f(u)f(v) > 0$.*

Proof Let $V(G) = \{u, v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-2}\}$ and $V(G') = \{u, v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-2}, w\}$. Let $d(x)$ and $d'(x)$ be the degrees of x in G and G', respectively. Let D and D' be the diagonal degree matrices of G and G', respectively. Let L and L' be the Laplacian matrices of G and G', respectively. Let e and e' be the vectors consisting of all ones, where $e \in R^n$ and $e' \in R^{n+1}$. Then $d'(w) = 2$,$d'(x) = d(x)$, $x \in V(G)$. Since f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. Then $f \neq 0$ and $f \perp De$.

Let h be a vector such that $h(w) = 0$, $h(x) = f(x)$, where $x \in V(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h^T D'e' &= \sum_{x \in V(G')} h(x)d'(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V(G)} h(x)d'(x) + h(w)d'(w) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V(G)} f(x)d(x) = f^T De = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h \perp D'e'$. Note that $h \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\frac{h^T L'h}{h^T D'h} \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G')).$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} h^T D'h &= \sum_{x \in V(G')} d'(x)h^2(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V(G)} d'(x)h^2(x) + d'(w)h^2(w) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V(G)} d(x)f^2(x) = f^T Df, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h^T L'h &= \sum_{xy \in E(G')} (h(x) - h(y))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G') \setminus \{uw, wv\}} (h(x) - h(y))^2 + (h(u) - h(w))^2 + (h(w) - h(v))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G) \setminus \{uv\}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + f^2(u) + f^2(v) \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G) \setminus \{uv\}} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + (f(u) - f(v))^2 + 2f(u)f(v) \\ &= \sum_{xy \in E(G)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + 2f(u)f(v) = f^T Lf + 2f(u)f(v) \\ &\geq f^T Lf. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Formula (2), we have

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \frac{f^T Lf}{f^T Df} \leq \frac{h^T L'h}{h^T D'h} \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G')).$$



Figure 3: Graph G_c and G_d

If $f(u)f(v) > 0$, then $f^T Lf < h^T L'h$. Thus, $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) < \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$. □

It is clear that the proof of Theorem 4.1 is similar to the proof of Case 1 in Theorem 3.1.

Figure 3 shows that the conditoin $f(u)f(v) \geq 0$ of Theorem 4.1 is necessary. If $f(u)f(v) < 0$, then the relation between values of $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$ and $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$ is not sure. There are the following two cases. For G_c and G_d in Figure 3, the real numbers attached to vertices in each graphs are the valuations by the harmonic eigenfuction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

Case 1 $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) < \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$. Let $G = G_c$ and 5, 6 stand for u, v, respectively. It is clear that $f(u)f(v) < 0$. Denote $G' = G - uv + uw + wv$. By direct calculation, we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = 1.8993 < 1.9382 = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$.

Case 2 $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) \geq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$. Let $G = G_d$ and 1, 2 stand for u, v, respectively. It is clear that $f(u)f(v) < 0$. Denote $G' = G - uv + uw + wv$. By direct calculation, we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \rho(\mathcal{L}(C_4)) = 2 > 1 - \cos\frac{4}{5}\pi = \rho(\mathcal{L}(C_5)) = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$.

The following theorem studies the behavior of $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ when the graph is perturbed by Operation II.

Theorem 4.2 *Let G_1 and G_2 be two simple connected graphs of orders m and n, respectively. Let $u \in V(G_1)$ and $v \in V(G_2)$. Let G be a graph obtained from G_1 and G_2 by identifying u with v. Let f_1 be a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1))$. If $f_1(u) = 0$, then $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) \geq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1))$.*

Proof Let $V(G_1) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}, u\}$, $V(G_2) = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}, v\}$, and $V(G) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}, u, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$. Let $d(x)$, $d_1(x)$ and $d_2(x)$ be the degree of x in G, the degree of x in G_1, and the degree of x in G_2, respectively. Let D and D_1 be the diagonal degree matrices of G and G_1, respectively. Let L and L_1 be the Laplacian matrices of G and G_1, respectively. Let e and e_1 be the vectors consisting of all ones, where $e \in R^{m+n-1}$ and $e_1 \in R^m$. Then $d(x_i) = d_1(x_i), i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$, $d(y_j) = d_2(y_j), j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, and $d(u) = d_1(u) + d_2(v)$. Since f_1 is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1))$. Then $f_1 \neq 0$ and $f_1 \perp D_1 e_1$.

Let $f(x) = f_1(x), \forall x \in V(G_1)$, $f(y_j) = 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^T Df &= \sum_{x\in V(G)} d(x)f^2(x) = \sum_{x\in V(G_1)} d(x)f^2(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d(y_j)f^2(y_j) \\ &= \sum_{x\in V(G_1)\setminus\{u\}} d(x)f^2(x) + d(u)f^2(u) \\ &= \sum_{x\in V(G_1)\setminus\{u\}} d_1(x)f_1^2(x) + (d_1(u) + d_2(v))f_1^2(u) \\ &= f_1^T D_1 f_1 + d_2(v)f_1^2(u) = f_1^T D_1 f_1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^T Lf &= \sum_{xy\in E(G)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy\in E(G_1)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 + \sum_{xy\in E(G)\setminus E(G_1)} (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \sum_{xy\in E(G_1)} (f_1(x) - f_1(y))^2 + \sum_{uy_j\in E(G)} (f(u) - f(y_j))^2 \\ &= f_1^T L_1 f_1 + \sum_{uy_j\in E(G)} f^2(u) \\ &= f_1^T L_1 f_1 + d_2(v)f_1^2(u) = f_1^T L_1 f_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^T De &= \sum_{x\in V(G)} d(x)f(x) = \sum_{x\in V(G_1)} d(x)f(x) \\ &= \sum_{x\in V(G_1)\setminus\{u\}} d(x)f(x) + d(u)f(u) \\ &= \sum_{x\in V(G_1)\setminus\{u\}} d_1(x)f_1(x) + (d_1(u) + d_2(v))f_1(u) \\ &= f_1^T D_1 e_1 + d_2(v)f_1(u) = f_1^T D_1 e_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f \perp De$. Note that $f \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\frac{f^T Lf}{f^T Df} \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G)).$$

Thus, from Formula (2), we have

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) = \frac{f_1^T L_1 f_1}{f_1^T D_1 f_1} = \frac{f^T Lf}{f^T Df} \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G)).$$

The result follows.□

It is clear that the proof of Theorem 4.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Figure 3 shows that the conditoin $f_1(u) = 0$ of Theorem 4.2 is necessary. If $f_1(u) \neq 0$, then the relation between values of $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1))$ and $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$ is not sure. There are the following two cases.

Case 1 $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) < \rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. Let $G_1 = G_c$, 7 stand for u and $G_2 = P_2$. It is clear that $f_1(u) \neq 0$. G is obtained from G_1 and G_2 by Operation II. By direct calculation, we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) = 1.8993 < 1.9382 = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

Case 2 $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) \geq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. Let $G_1 = G_d = C_4$, 4 stand for u and $G_2 = C_3$. It is clear that $f_1(u) \neq 0$. G is obtained from G_1 and G_2 by Operation II. By direct calculation, we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) = \rho(\mathcal{L}(C_4)) = 2 > 1.9010 = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

The following theorem studies the behavior of $\rho(\mathcal{L})$ when the graph is perturbed by Operation III.

Theorem 4.3 *Let u, v be two vertices of the simple connected graph G of order n. Suppose that $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s$ $(1 \leq s \leq d(v))$ are some vertices of $N_G(v)\backslash N_G(u)$ and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s$ are different from u. Let $G' = G - vv_1 - vv_2 - \cdots - vv_s + uv_1 + uv_2 + \cdots + uv_s$, and f be a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. If $f(u) = f(v)$, then $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$.*

Proof Let $d(x)$ and $d'(x)$ be the degree of x in G and the degree of x in G', respectively. Let D and D' be the diagonal degree matrices of G and G', respectively. Let L and L' be the Laplacian matrices of G and G', respectively. Let e be the vector consisting of all ones, where $e \in R^{|G|}$. Then $d'(v) = d(v) - s$,$d'(u) = d(u) + s$, $d'(x) = d(x)$, where $x \in V(G)\backslash\{u, v\}$. Since f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. Then $f \neq 0$ and $f \perp De$. Let $f'(u) = f(u), \forall u \in V(G)$. Then $f'(u) = f(u) = f(v) = f'(v)$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have

$$f'^T L' f' = f^T L f, f'^T D' f' = f^T D f, f'^T D' e = f^T D e = 0.$$

Thus $f' \perp D'e$. Note that $f' \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\frac{f'^T L' f'}{f'^T D' f'} \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G')).$$

Thus, from Formula (2), we have

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \frac{f^T L f}{f^T D f} = \frac{f'^T L' f'}{f'^T D' f'} \leq \rho(\mathcal{L}(G').$$

The result follows.□

Figure 3 shows that the conditoin $f(u) = f(v)$ of Theorem 4.3 is necessary. If $f(u) \neq f(v)$, then the relation between values of $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$ and $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$ is not sure. There are the following three cases.

Case 1 $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) < \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$. Let $G = G_c$ and 4, 3, 5 stand for u, v, v_1, respectively. It is clear that $f(u) > f(v)$. Denote $G' = G - vv_1 + uv_1$. By direct calculation, we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = 1.8993 < 1.9063 = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$.

Case 2 $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$. Let $G = G_c$ and 1, 3, 5 stand for u, v, v_1, respectively. It is clear that $f(u) > f(v)$. Denote $G' = G - vv_1 + uv_1$. Because G is isomorphic to G', we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G')) = 1.8993$.

Case 3 $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) > \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$. Let $G = G_c$ and 2, 5, 6 stand for u, v, v_1, respectively. Considering $g = -f$ as the harmonic eigenfuction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. It is clear that $g(u) = -0.0214 > -0.3250 = g(v)$. Denote $G' = G - vv_1 + uv_1$. By direct calculation, we obtain $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G)) = 1.8993 > 1.8243 = \rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$.

From the above, we can see that if $f(u) > f(v)$, then the relation between values of $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$ and $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G'))$ is not sure. Note that if f is a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$, then $-f$ is also a harmonic eigenfunction associated with $\rho(\mathcal{L}(G))$. When $f(u) < f(v)$, the similar result is obtained.

References

[1] F.R.K. Chung, Spectral Graph Theory, American Math. Soc. Providence, 1997.

[2] S. Butler, Eigenvalues and structures of graphs, Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, San Diego, 2008.

[3] H.H. Li, J.S. Li, Y.-Z. Fan, The effect on the second smallest eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian of a graph by grafting edges, Linear Multilinear Algebra 56 (2008), 627–638.

[4] H.H. Li, J.S. Li, A note on the normalized Laplacian spectra, Taiwanese J. Math. 15 (2011), 129–139.

[5] J. Li, J.-M. Guo, W.C. Shiu, A. Chang, An edge-separating theorem on the second smallest normalized Laplacian eigenvalue of a graph and its applications, Discrete Appl. Math. 171 (2014), 104–115.

[6] J.X. Li, J.-M. Guo, W.C. Shiu, A. Chang, Six classes of trees with largest normalized algebraic connectivity, Linear Algebra Appl. 452 (2014), 318–327.

[7] J.-M. Guo, J. Li, W.C. Shiu, The Largest Normalized Laplacian Spectral Radius of Non-Bipartite Graphs, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 2015:DOI 10.1007/s40840-015-0241-y 1–11.